## APPEAL NO. 030846 FILED JUNE 4, 2003

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on February 19, 2003. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by deciding that, "[t]he correct date of injury cannot be determined as it is prior to January 1, 1991." The appellant (carrier) appeals. Neither the respondent (claimant) nor his attorney attended the CCH, and there is no response on appeal.

## **DECISION**

Affirmed on other grounds.

In an Employee's Notice of Injury or Occupational Disease and Claim for Compensation (TWCC-41) dated March 21, 2001, the claimant claimed that exposure to cotton dust and other items caused him to have respiratory problems and gave a date of injury of \_\_\_\_\_\_. According to representations made in documents in evidence and in the appeal, the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (Commission) processed the claim as an old law claim under TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. ANN., art. 8306 et seq. (Vernon Supp. 1967) (repealed 1989); the Commission denied the claim in January 2002; an appeal was taken to district court, and the district court case is currently pending.

The disputed issue at the CCH held on February 19, 2003, was, "What is the correct date of the claimants Injury?" The carrier appeared through its attorney. Neither the claimant nor his attorney appeared at the CCH. In response to a letter from the hearing officer to show cause why the claimant failed to appear at the CCH, the claimant's attorney wrote that the Commission had lost jurisdiction over the claim because it had previously made a final ruling on the claim, the case was presently pending before the district court, and the district court had denied the carrier's Motion to Abate the district court proceeding.

The hearing officer entered the following decision and order:

The 1989 Act does not apply to this claim because the correct date of injury is prior to January 1, 1991. The claimant's claim should be adjudicated as a claim under the prior workers' compensation law, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT. ANN. art. 8306 et seq. (Vernon Supp. 1967) (repealed 1989).

In essence, the hearing officer determined that she did not have jurisdiction over the claim because the date of injury was prior to January 1, 1991. We agree that she did not have jurisdiction over the claim but for a different reason. Based on representations of the parties, the Commission has already issued a final decision in

this claim, having processed it as an "old law" claim. The appeal of that decision is pending in the district court. Thus, the Commission has lost jurisdiction over the claim and is without the authority to resolve a date of injury issue. While it may have been a better practice for the Commission to resolve any dispute as the correct date of injury of this claim before it made the decision of whether to process the claim under the "old law" or the 1989 Act, that procedure was not followed in this claim and it is simply too late to go back and attempt to do so at this juncture. See Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 030845-s, decided June 2, 2003.

The hearing officer's determination that she is without jurisdiction to resolve the date of injury issue under the 1989 Act is affirmed, albeit on other grounds.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is ACE INSURANCE COMPANY OF TEXAS (f/k/a Cigna Insurance Company of Texas) and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## ROBIN MOUNTAIN 6600 CAMPUS CIRCLE DRIVE EAST, SUITE 200 IRVING, TEXAS 75063.

CONCUR:	Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge
Gary L. Kilgore Appeals Judge	
Margaret Turner Appeals Judge	